# **UC Davis**

# **Dermatology Online Journal**

## **Title**

Chatbot utilization in dermatology: a potential amelioration to burnout in dermatology

## **Permalink**

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2vm3d29w

## **Journal**

Dermatology Online Journal, 28(6)

#### **Authors**

Diamond, Carrie Rundle, Chandler W Albrecht, J Mark et al.

## **Publication Date**

2022

## DOI

10.5070/D328659734

# **Copyright Information**

Copyright 2022 by the author(s). This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License, available at <a href="https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/">https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</a>

Peer reviewed

# Chatbot utilization in dermatology: a potential amelioration to burnout in dermatology

Carrie Diamond<sup>1</sup> BA, Chandler W Rundle<sup>2</sup> MD, J Mark Albrecht<sup>3</sup> MS, Matilda W Nicholas<sup>2</sup> MD PhD

Affiliations: <sup>1</sup>School of Medicine, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, USA, <sup>2</sup>Department of Dermatology, Duke University Hospital, Durham, North Carolina, USA, <sup>3</sup>School of Medicine, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA

Corresponding Author: Matilda W Nicholas MD PhD, Duke University Medical Center, Box 3135, Durham, NC 27710, Tel: 919-385-7546, Email: matilda.nicholas@duke.edu

Keywords: artificial intelligence, burnout, digital imaging, messaging, patient portal

#### To the Editor:

Chatbots are software applications that use steps or scripts to automate natural communication with users [1, 2]. Chatbots were first trialed in 1964 with ELIZA created by Joseph Weizenbaum, designed to act as a Rogerian psychotherapist [2,3]. Chatbots have been used in virtually every industry to afford customers communication via messaging without speaking with a human associate [3]. There are over 5100 chatbot programs today, with over 100 used in healthcare applications [4]. In 2020, the chatbot market was valued at US\$ 17.17 billion and is projected to reach \$102.29 billion by 2026. Major stakeholders in the market include IBM, Google, Amazon, Creative Virtual Ltd, LiveChat, and Facebook [5].

In healthcare, chatbots have the potential to improve the quality of the patient experience and care by facilitating communication, improving workflow and efficiency of appointment scheduling and reminders, and assisting with diagnostics, treatment discussions, and patient education [5,7]. They have been instrumental in various areas including providing support to patients with breast cancer [6], increasing nicotine abstinence rates in smoking cessation trials [1], conversing with nonverbal children on the autism spectrum [7], and improving cardiovascular medication adherence [8]. In dermatology, however, they have not become widely used clinically. With steadily rising rates of communication through patient electronic medical records (EMR), a potential use lies within artificial

intelligence (AI) and chatbot technology to triage, diagnose, and manage skin disorders in a more efficient manner, further widening dermatologic access [9].

The implementation of electronic medical records interfacing with patient portals has created a marked rise in communication between patients and providers [9]. Patients can easily and with little effort report new problems, follow-up on previous visits, schedule appointments, and ask questions [6]. The portal offers expedited evaluation and treatment, time saving, and increased efficiency in managing patient care. Additional benefits include improved medication adherence and decreased no-show rates [9]. This has led to a substantial increase in the number of messages received annually, with primary care providers reporting an average of 66.8 minutes per day processing notifications [10, 11]. From 2013 to 2018, primary care provider message responses increased from 153 to 322 per provider per year, a 110% change [11]. For multispecialty providers at one institution, an increase of 253% was observed, from 15 to 53 responses per provider per year [11]. In another multispecialty, institution-wide study, a 348% increase in annual portal message threads was documented, increasing from 108,121 threads in 2008 to 484,374 in 2010 [12]. Among the medical specialties, dermatology ranked second in growth rate of message utilization and reported an 84.4% probability of using online messaging [13]. An additional report showed a mean of 24.57 messages received per half-day session by dermatologists [13]. Furthermore, one study demonstrated that dermatology practitioners received a higher proportion of messages with image attachments than non-dermatology specialties (31.44 messages per 100 arrived visits versus 26.11, respectively, P<0.05), providing an additional burden to dermatologists [14]. However, more studies are needed to quantify the full extent of dermatology messaging burden.

As the rate of patient messages increases each year, there is an observed increase in the degree of physician burnout [15]. In 2021, 42% of physicians reported burnout, largely driven by too many bureaucratic tasks and exacerbated by increased computerization of practice [16]. Low satisfaction with EMR was associated with a 30% increased risk of burning out [17]. In dermatology, 29% of providers reported burnout in 2021, with the most common cause being excessive documentation and time spent on the EMR [17,19]. A prominent solution proposed, in addition to reducing work hours, is adjustments to workflow and staff changes to ease workflow [16].

# **Discussion**

Patient messaging content can be categorized into diagnosis, treatment options, appointment scheduling and reminders, and patient education and support [4]. Virtual chatbot history gathering is an area of active study [18]. The possibilities for chatbots in dermatology assisting with diagnosis would involve gathering symptom data, which can be obtained both from patient free form messages, followed by a list of ensuing checkbox type questions and pictures of active skin disease. Triage capabilities could similarly be assessed by severity categorization, thus aiding scheduling in recommendations. In fact, EMR triage technology has been implemented for patients experiencing adverse effects associated with immune-checkpoint inhibitor toxicities [19]. Furthermore, machine learning models have been trained on patient portal messages leading to automation of medical decision-making complexity [20]. Dermatologists receive significantly more images in patientgenerated messages, compared to other specialties and can thus benefit from AI chatbots trained on image recognition [14]. The biggest benefit of chatbots for dermatologists is the decrease in both number of patient messages to respond to, and number of interruptions to workflow. This would allow for better triaging of messaging, allowing for higher risk patients to be scheduled for in-person appointments in an expedited manner.

From a patient perspective, chatbots offer early screening and diagnosis, discussions regarding treatment options, after visit follow-ups, and routine monitoring. This increased support is provided while affording the opportunity of fewer in-person visits [21]. Patients can interact with chatbots to detail their symptoms, answer questions about their mental health, receive information about their diagnosis, and explore pertinent therapies/side effects. They can answer personal questions and some studies have shown patients prefer chatbot communication over computer questionnaires [22]. Regarding current applications in dermatology, a hidradenitis suppurativa chatbot has been implemented, providing supplemental communication with hidradenitis suppurativa patients [23]. In addition, a chatbot, 'Beautybot', provides information to patients on topics like wrinkles and pigmentation [24].

Additionally, scheduling information can be programmed into chatbots, allowing patients to select from available appointment dates. Provided the amount of image attachments dermatologists receive, one practical chatbot intervention could be converting a 'new lesion' image teledermatology or in-person visit. After a diagnosis is reached, patients can be provided with information including information about treatment side effects and monitoring. Continued symptom monitoring may be ongoing and assessment for impact on quality of life is possible. Furthermore, after-visit follow-up questions and clarification regarding treatment course and plan can be addressed in a timely manner without requiring further appointments or calls. Thus far, studies have shown patients are responsive to communication with chatbots. One non-inferiority trial in breast

cancer communication found chatbots noninferior to physicians in communicating diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment [25].

## **Conclusion**

The practice of clinical medicine is changing at unprecedented rates. The emergence of new avenues for patient care and communication offers exciting promise for expedited care, while at the same time further exacerbating physician dissatisfaction with EMR and exacerbating burnout.

As Al and machine learning technology continues to progress, chatbot technology offers a promising option for automation within the field of dermatology. Furthermore, given the visual nature of dermatology, integration of chatbots with image classification technology could be a particularly interesting application of specialized technology in the field.

# **Potential conflicts of interest**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## References

- Avila-Tomas JF, Olano-Espinosa E, Minué-Lorenzo C, et al. Effectiveness of a chat-bot for the adult population to quit smoking: protocol of a pragmatic clinical trial in primary care (Dejal@). BMC Med Inform Decis Mak. 2019;19:249. [PMID: 31796061].
- Safi Z, Abd-Alrazaq A, Khalifa M, Househ M. Technical Aspects of Developing Chatbots for Medical Applications: Scoping Review. J Med Internet Res. 2020;22:e19127. [PMID: 33337337].
- Mierzwa S, Souidi S, Conroy T, Abusyed M, Watarai H, Allen T. On the Potential, Feasibility, and Effectiveness of Chat Bots in Public Health Research Going Forward. Online J Public Health Inform. 2019;11:e4. [PMID: 31632598].
- Xu L, Sanders L, Li K, Chow JCL. Chatbot for Health Care and Oncology Applications Using Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning: Systematic Review. JMIR Cancer. 2021;7:e27850. [PMID: 34847056].
- Chatbot Market- Growth, Trends, COVID-19 Impact and Forecasts (2021-2026). <a href="https://www.mordorintelligence.com/industry-reports/chatbot-market">https://www.mordorintelligence.com/industry-reports/chatbot-market</a>. Accessed on December 14, 2021.
- Chaix B, Bibault JE, Pienkowski A, et al. When Chatbots Meet Patients: One-Year Prospective Study of Conversations Between Patients With Breast Cancer and a Chatbot. *JMIR Cancer*. 2019;5:e12856. [PMID: 31045505].
- Cooper A, Ireland D. Designing a Chat-Bot for Non-Verbal Children on the Autism Spectrum. Stud Health Technol Inform. 2018;252:63-8. [PMID: 30040684].
- 8. Glasgow RE, Knoepke CE, Magid D, et al. The NUDGE trial pragmatic trial to enhance cardiovascular medication adherence: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Trials*. 2021;22:528. [PMID: 34380527].
- de Lusignan S, Mold F, Sheikh A, et al Patients' online access to their electronic health records and linked online services: a systematic interpretative review. BMJ Open. 2014;4:e006021. [PMID: 25200561].
- Murphy DR, Meyer AN, Russo E, et al The Burden of Inbox Notifications in Commercial Electronic Health Records. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2016;176:559-60. [PMID: 26974737].
- North F, Luhman KE, Mallmann EA, et al A Retrospective Analysis of Provider-to-Patient Secure Messages: How Much Are They Increasing, Who Is Doing the Work, and Is the Work Happening After Hours? JMIR Med Inform. 2020;8:e16521. [PMID: 32673238].
- 12. Cronin RM, Davis SE, Shenson JA, et al. Growth of Secure

- Messaging Through a Patient Portal as a Form of Outpatient Interaction across Clinical Specialties. *Appl Clin Inform*. 2015;6:288-304. [PMID: 26171076].
- 13. Bittar PG, Nicholas MW. The burden of inbox-messaging systems and its effect on work-life balance in dermatology. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2018;79:361-3.e1. [PMID: 29258864].
- 14. Borre ED, Nicholas MW. The disproportionate burden of electronic health record messages with image attachments in dermatology. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2022;86:492-4. [PMID: 34555485].
- 15. Hilliard RW, Haskell J, Gardner RL. Are specific elements of electronic health record use associated with clinician burnout more than others? *J Am Med Inform Assoc.* 2020;27:1401-10. [PMID: 32719859].
- Medscape national physician burnout and depression report 2021. Medscape. (2021). <a href="https://www.medscape.com/slideshow/2021-lifestyle-burnout-6013456">https://www.medscape.com/slideshow/2021-lifestyle-burnout-6013456</a>. Accessed on December 14, 2021.
- 17. Shanafelt TD, Dyrbye LN, Sinsky C, et al Relationship Between Clerical Burden and Characteristics of the Electronic Environment With Physician Burnout and Professional Satisfaction. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2016;91:836-48. [PMID: 27313121].
- 18. Reiswich A, Haag M. Evaluation of Chatbot Prototypes for Taking the Virtual Patient's History. *Stud Health Technol Inform*. 2019;260:73-80. [PMID: 31118321].
- 19. Abu-Shawer O, Singh P, Yenulevich E, et al. Novel platform leveraging electronic medical record (EMR) to triage patients admitted with high-grade immune-related adverse events (irAEs) to the immune-toxicity (ITOX) service. *J Immunother Cancer*. 2020;8. [PMID: 32817360].
- 20. Sulieman L, Robinson JR, Jackson GP. Automating the Classification of Complexity of Medical Decision-Making in Patient-Provider Messaging in a Patient Portal. *J Surg Res.* 2020;255:224-32. [PMID: 32570124].
- 21. Obagi ZA, Rundle CW, Dellavalle RP. Widening the scope of virtual reality and augmented reality in dermatology. *Dermatol Online J.* 2020;26. [PMID: 32155022].
- 22. Te Pas ME, Rutten W, Bouwman RA, Buise MP. User Experience of a Chatbot Questionnaire Versus a Regular Computer Questionnaire: Prospective Comparative Study. *JMIR Med Inform*. 2020;8:e21982. [PMID: 33284125].
- 23. Walss M, Anzengruber F, Arafa A, Djamei V, Navarini AA. Implementing Medical Chatbots: An Application on Hidradenitis

- Suppurativa. *Dermatology*. 2021;237:712-8. [PMID: 33744903]. 24. Feuchter S, Kunz M, Djamei V, Navarini AA. Anonymous
- automated counselling for aesthetic dermatology using a chatbot an analysis of age- and gender-specific usage patterns. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2021;35:e194-e5. [PMID: 32869372].
- 25. Bibault JE, Chaix B, Guillemassé A, et al. Chatbot Versus Physicians to Provide Information for Patients With Breast Cancer: Blind, Randomized Controlled Noninferiority Trial. *J Med Internet Res.* 2019;21:e15787. [PMID: 31774408].