

Analysis of patient attitudes and behavior regarding dermatologic care during the COVID-19 pandemic: a survey-based study at a single academic institution

DX Gao BA, JS Kahn MS BS, SR Cohen MD, N Dumont, FC Yang MD, D Rosmarin MD

Affiliations: ¹Department of Dermatology, Tufts Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

Corresponding Author: David Rosmarin MD, Tufts Medical Center, 800 Washington Street #114, Boston, MA 02111, Tel: 617-636-1579, Fax: 617-636-9179, Email: drosmarin@tuftsmedicalcenter.org

Keywords: attitudes, COVID-19, dermatology, health inequities, mask, skin check

To the Editor:

The impact of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has been significant and pervasive across industries, including healthcare. It has been reported that 41% of adults have avoided/delayed medical care due to COVID-19, which worsens outcomes [1]. As certain demographic populations are associated with higher mortality from COVID-19, including Asian and Black race, age greater than 60 years, and those with a high school diploma or less, it is important to identify if particular groups of individuals also have heightened concern about seeking dermatologic care and their expectations when visiting the dermatologist [2-4]. We were specifically interested in attitudes of patients toward in-person visits including examination of the skin underneath their mask.

In January 2021, a 7-question Institutional Review Board-approved survey was given to 226 consecutive adult patients presenting for outpatient care at Tufts Medical Center Dermatology, with 207 responding (response rate=90.8%), Table 1. Questions were focused on the impact of COVID-19 on patients' dermatologic care, care-seeking behavior, and mask-wearing behavior. Statistical analyses were performed using R 4.0.3 using z-tests for proportions, with subgroup analyses performed in age, race/ethnicity, and education.

Our results revealed patients 30-59 years of age and non-White individuals to be most concerned about

COVID-19. In terms of changes in dermatologic care-seeking, 25% of total respondents reported concerns about frequenting a dermatology office during the pandemic, whereas 17% of total respondents reported having avoided/delayed dermatologic care due to COVID-19. Patients noting concern about **coming to the dermatologist's office** tended to have avoided/delayed care (Spearman correlation=0.58, $P<0.001$). Asians were more likely than Whites to report COVID-19 negatively impacted their care (12/35 [34%] versus 17/114 [15%], $P=0.006$) and to have delayed care due to COVID-19 (10/35 [29%] versus 15/115 [13%], $P=0.016$). Similar trends were seen for all non-Whites versus Whites as well. **Surprisingly, respondents ≥ 60 years were more likely** than respondents 30-59 years old to disagree about being concerned with going to their dermatologist (30/48 [63%] versus 32/84 [38%], $P=0.003$) or to have avoided/delayed care due to COVID-19 (41/48 [85%] versus 56/81 [51%], $P=0.02$), respectively (Table 2).

A large minority of all patients (32%) did not want their dermatologist to examine the skin under the mask. Compared to Asians and all non-Whites, Whites were more likely to disagree that examination under the mask could expose them to COVID-19 (10/35 [29%] versus 73/114 [64%], $P<0.001$; 39/88 [44%] versus 73/114 [64%], $P=0.003$, respectively).

It is important for dermatologists to be cognizant of the fears of patients when conducting visits in the office. Patients may still have concerns about

Table 1. Demographics of respondents.

Age	N (%)
18-29 ("young")	60 (31.3%)
30-59 ("middle-aged")	84 (43.8%)
60+ ("older")	48 (25.0%)
Gender	
Male	82 (39.8%)
Female	122 (59.2%)
Other	2 (1.0%)
Prefer not to say	0 (0%)
Race/ethnicity	
White	115 (55.6%)
Black	22 (10.6%)
Hispanic	17 (8.2%)
Asian	36 (17.4%)
Native American	0 (0%)
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0 (0%)
Mixed (>=2 races)	12 (5.8%)
Other/unknown	3 (1.4%)
Prefer not to say	2 (1.0%)
Highest level of education completed	
Some high school/high school/trade school	58 (28.0%)
Bachelors	93 (44.9%)
Masters/doctorates	50 (24.2%)
Prefer not to say	6 (2.9%)

unmasking [5]. Although certain groups of high-risk patients are disproportionately affected by COVID-

References

- Center for Disease Control and Prevention (2020, September 11). *Delay or Avoidance of Medical Care Because of COVID-19-Related Concerns – United States, June 2020*. <https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/69/wr/mm6936a4.htm#:~:text=As%20of%20June%2030%2C%202020%2C%20an%20esti%2041%25%20of%20avoided%20urgent%20or%20emergenc%20care>. Accessed on March 17, 2021.
- Sze S, Pan D, Nevill CR, et al. Ethnicity and clinical outcomes in COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *EClinicalMedicine*. 2020;29:100630. [PMID: 33200120].
- Center for Disease Control and Prevention. (2021, February 26). *Older adults at greater risk of requiring hospitalization or dying if diagnosed with COVID-19*. <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/need-extra-precautions/older-adults.html>. Accessed on March 15, 2021.
- Abedi V, Olulana O, Avula V, et al. Racial, Economic, and Health Inequality and COVID-19 Infection in the United States. *J Racial Ethn Health Disparities*. 2021;8:732-742. [PMID: 32875535].
- Center for Disease Control and Prevention (2021, May 7). *Scientific Brief: SARS-CoV-2 Transmission*. <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/science/science-briefs/sars-cov-2-transmission.html>. Accessed on August 6, 2021.
- Center for Disease Control and Prevention (2021, May 7). *Scientific Brief: SARS-CoV-2 Transmission*. <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/science/science-briefs/sars-cov-2-transmission.html>. Accessed on August 6, 2021.

19, many patients still remain concerned about the virus. Limitations of this study include single center analysis, sampling bias toward patients willing to be seen in-person, and differences in disease prevalence inherent to certain ages and skin types. This study was conducted before the COVID-19 vaccine was widely available and represents a limited time period.

Potential conflicts of interest

David Rosmarin has received honoraria as a consultant for AbbVie, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Bristol Meyers Squibb, Celgene, Concert, Dermavant, Dermira, Incyte, Janssen, Kyowa Kirin, Lilly, Novartis, Pfizer, Regeneron, Sanofi, Sun Pharmaceuticals, UCB, VielaBio; has received research support from AbbVie, Amgen, Bristol Meyers Squibb, Celgene, Dermira, Galderma, Incyte, Janssen, Lilly, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, and Regeneron Pharmaceuticals Inc; and has served as a paid speaker for AbbVie, Amgen, Celgene, Janssen, Lilly, Novartis, Pfizer, Regeneron Pharmaceuticals Inc., and Sanofi. Remaining authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Table 2. Statistical analyses of questions analyzed by age, race/ethnicity, and education.

Age*	"COVID-19 has had a negative impact on my dermatologic care"			"I am concerned about coming to a dermatologist's office during the COVID-19 pandemic"			"I have avoided or delayed seeing a dermatologist specifically because of COVID-19"			"I want my dermatologist to examine the skin under my mask"			"I am concerned that examining under the mask might expose me to COVID"		
	SA/A	SD/D	Total	SA/A	SD/D	Total	SA/A	SD/D	Total	SA/A	SD/D	Total	SA/A	SD/D	Total
18-29 (A1)	8 (14%)	28 (47%)	59	14 (23%)	29 (48%)	60	13 (22%)	45 (75%)	60	28 (47%)	23 (38%)	60	9 (12%)	49 (63%)	78
30-59 (A2)	15 (18%)	48 (59%)	82	24 (29%)	32 (38%)	84	15 (19%)	56 (69%)	81	42 (51%)	23 (28%)	82	18 (22%)	40 (49%)	82
60+ (A3)	10 (21%)	27 (56%)	48	10 (21%)	30 (63%)	48	5 (10%)	41 (85%)	48	22 (46%)	12 (25%)	48	9 (19%)	32 (68%)	47
A1 vs A3, P values	0.317	0.366	-	0.756	0.142	-	0.119	0.182	-	0.931	0.141	-	0.240	0.551	-
A2 vs A3, P values	0.723	0.799	-	0.328	0.00344***	-	0.219	0.0193	-	0.553	0.705	-	0.707	0.0168	-
Race/ethnicity*	SA/A	SD/D	Total	SA/A	SD/D	Total	SA/A	SD/D	Total	SA/A	SD/D	Total	SA/A	SD/D	Total
White (R1)	17 (15%)	73 (64%)	114	26 (23%)	61 (53%)	115	15 (13%)	95 (83%)	115	53 (46%)	40 (35%)	115	19 (17%)	73 (64%)	114
Asian (R2)	12 (34%)	11 (31%)	35	10 (28%)	12 (33%)	36	10 (29%)	21 (60%)	35	14 (40%)	10 (29%)	35	7 (20%)	10 (29%)	35
Non-White** (R3)	20 (23%)	37 (43%)	87	25 (28%)	38 (42%)	90	18 (21%)	60 (69%)	87	43 (49%)	25 (28%)	88	19 (22%)	39 (44%)	88
R1 vs R2, P values	0.00567	<0.001	-	0.525	0.396	-	0.0155	0.146	-	0.526	0.495	-	0.650	<0.001	-
R1 vs R3, P values	0.143	0.00120	-	0.0195	0.124	-	0.00258	0.0115	-	0.650	0.335	-	0.375	0.00259	-
Education*	SA/A	SD/D	Total	SA/A	SD/D	Total	SA/A	SD/D	Total	SA/A	SD/D	Total	SA/A	SD/D	Total
Some HS/HS/Trade (E1)	11 (19%)	27 (47%)	57	13 (22%)	28 (48%)	58	7 (13%)	45 (80%)	56	22 (39%)	17 (30%)	57	9 (16%)	33 (58%)	57
Bachelor's (E2)	18 (20%)	51 (55%)	92	20 (22%)	49 (53%)	93	14 (15%)	69 (75%)	92	48 (52%)	26 (28%)	93	16 (17%)	52 (57%)	92
Masters/doctorates (E3)	4 (8%)	33 (67%)	49	16 (32%)	23 (46%)	50	11 (22%)	38 (76%)	50	24 (48%)	22 (44%)	50	11 (22%)	29 (58%)	50
E1 vs E2, P values	0.968	0.338	-	0.896	0.598	-	0.646	0.452	-	0.121	0.806	-	0.799	0.869	-
E1 vs E3, P values	0.101	0.0193	-	0.262	0.813	-	0.194	0.587	-	0.327	0.129	-	0.411	0.991	-

*Answer options included Strongly Agree (SA), Agree (A), Neutral, Disagree (D), Strongly Disagree (SD).

**"Non-White" subgroup consisted of respondents answering either Black, Hispanic, Asian, Mixed, and Other/Unknown for race, which were grouped and analyzed together.

***Statistical tests were conducted using z-tests in R 4.0.3, with statistical significance determined at a P value <0.05.